Points per each

Total Number of Points

Exceedances

Points

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 2020

Influent Flow and Loading

Influent No. 701		ent Monthly e Flow, MGD	x Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L			×	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day	
January	1	1.7103	x	163		X	<	8.34	=	15,919
February	9	9.6748	x	219		×	<	8.34	=	17,671
March	2	0.9706	x	94		×	<	8.34	=	16,440
April	1	2.9853	x	110		×	<	8.34	=	11,859
May	1	3.9506	x	291		×	<	8.34	=	33,799
June	1	2.3037	x	196		×	<	8.34	=	20,061
July	1	1.4610	x	237		×	<	8.34	=	22,606
August	5	7.6939	x	326		×	<	8.34	=	20,886
September	8	3.2907	x	288		×	<	8.34	=	19,914
October	9	9.9368	x	357		×	<	8.34	=	29,544
November	1	1.0567	x	202		×	<	8.34	=	18,627
December	5	3.7223	x	245		×		8.34	=	17,822
	Design esign Flo	w, MGD	D	esign Factor 24.4	x x		% 90)	=	% of Design 21.96
Max Month De	esign Flo	w, MGD	D				-) 0		
Max Month De Design BOD, I	esign Flo Ibs/day			24.4 40900	x x x x		90 10 90 10) 0) 0	= = =	21.96 24.4 36810 40900
Max Month De Design BOD, I	esign Flo lbs/day number	of times the Number of tin flow was grea	flow mes ater	24.4 40900	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 or or) 0) 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the	esign Flo Ibs/day number Months of	of times the Number of tin flow was grea	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 0r) 0) 0 100% c of time s greate	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score:	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent	of times the Number of tin flow was greathan 90%	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 0r 0er was 0%) 0 0 100% c of time s great o of des	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent	of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90%	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 or 0%) 0 0 100% c of time s greate of des 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1	of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00) 0 0 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, sign, points earned, BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of ti flow was greated than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00) 0 0 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, solution solutio solution solu
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of ti flow was greating than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0 100% c 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, solution earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	of times the Number of tin flow was great than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00) 0 0 100% c of time s great o of des 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of times the flow was greated than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00) 0 0 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August September	esign Flo lbs/day number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of times the flow was greated than 90% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00) 0 0 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Max Month De Design BOD, I 2.2 Verify the and score: January February March April May June July August	esign Flo lbs/day e number Months of Influent 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	r of times the Number of times the flow was greated than 90% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	flow mes ater	24.4 40900 and BOD excee Number of time flow was greate than 100% of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x x x ded	90% (Numb BOD v	90 10 90 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0 100% c of time s greate o of des 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= = = of de es	21.96 24.4 36810 40900 esign, points earned, Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Appleton Wastewate	r Treatment Facilit	ty	Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting For: 2020
	Enter last calibration 2020-07-30	ed in the last year? date (MM/DD/YYYY)		
	•			
	ity have a sewer use al pollutants ((C)BO al users, hauled was	e ordinance that limited or prohit D, SS, or pH) or toxic substances te, or residences?		
	in: ed that exceeded the turn to compliance f	ance? e industrial limits for pH, copper, for these infractions. The AWWTP		
5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requ Septic Tanks		tage at your facility? Grease Traps		
• Yes	• Yes	o Yes		
○ No	○ No	● No		
5.2 Did you receive se Septic Tanks ● Yes ○ No Holding Tanks ● Yes	eptage at your faclit 188,300 843,150	y? If yes, indicate volume in gallo gallons gallons	ons.	
 ○ No Grease Traps ○ Yes ● No 5.2.1 If yes to any o any of these wastes. 	f the above, please	_ gallons _ gallons explain if plant performance is af	fected when rece	eiving
		<u> </u>		
or hazardous situation commercial or industr O Yes • No	ns in the sewer systerial discharges in the	al problems, permit violations, bi em or treatment plant that were e last year? community's response.		oncerns,

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Yes

o No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

AWWTP receives food processing wastes and landfill leachate. All wastes are tested prior to acceptance. Acceptance is based on toxicity and loading potential. Once waste has been screened and approved by AWWTP staff, it is discharged to the headworks or digestion for treatment.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1.	Effluent ((C))BOD	Results
----	------------	-----	------	---------

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit			
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance			
January	25	22.5	8	1	0	0			
February	25	22.5	5	1	0	0			
March	25	22.5	9	1	0	0			
April	25	22.5	6	1	0	0			
May	25	22.5	6	1	0	0			
June	25	22.5	4	1	0	0			
July	25	22.5	4	1	0	0			
August	25	22.5	6	1	0	0			
September	25	22.5	6	1	0	0			
October	25	22.5	6	1	0	0	0		
November	25	22.5	6	1	0	0			
December	25	22.5	7	1	0	0			
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10	-				
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12					
Points per e	ach exceedand	ce with 12 mor	ths of discharge		7	3			
Exceedance	S				0	0			
Points					0	0			
Total num	ber of points					0			
exceedance the numbe of the year	e for this section of months of the multiplication	on shall be bas discharge. Exa ation factor is	mittently to state ed upon a multipl ample: For a wast 12/6 = 2.0 on was taken to re	ication factor of ewater facility	of 12 months d discharging or	livided by			
 2.1 Was the o Yes No If No, please Our efflue 									
	3. Treatment Problems 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment? None								
4.1 At any t	L. Other Monitoring and Limits 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?								

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting Fo
	6/3/2021	2020
○ No		
If Yes, please explain:		
Residual chlorine limit was exceeded on June 29, 2020.		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an efflu toxicity (WET) test?o Yes	ent acute or chronic whole ef	fluent
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps to source(s) of toxicity?	aken to identify and/or reduc	e
o Yes		
• No		
● N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
6/3/2021	2020

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit		
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance		
January	30	27	3	1	0	0		
February	30	27	3	1	0	0		
March	30	27	3	1	0	0		
April	30	27	2	1	0	0		
Мау	30	27	3	1	0	0		
June	30	27	2	1	0	0		
July	30	27	2	1	0	0		
August	30	27	3	1	0	0		
September	30	27	3	1	0	0		
October	30	27	3	1	0	0		
November	30	27	3	1	0	0		
December	30	27	5	1	0	0		
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10				
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12				
Points per	each exceed	ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3		
Exceedance	S				0	0		
Points					0	0		
Total Num	ber of Points					0		
NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?								

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average		Average	Average	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	Limit	NH3 (mg/L)	Exceed ance	for week	for week	for week	for Week 4	Exceed ance
	(IIIg/L)	(mg/L)	(IIIg/L)	ance	L	2	5	4	ance
January	10		4.411290	823 0					
February	10		7.530344	828 0					
March	10		5.453548	887 0					
April	11		4.514666	667 0					
May	11		4.333548	887 0					
June	4.4		1.727333	833 0					
July	4.4		.7377419	85 0					
August	4.4		1.145483	871 0					
September	4.4		.8093333	83 0					
October	18		.8832258	06 0					
November	18		.586	0					
December	18		3.964193	548 0					
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	fonthly av	/erage:					10
Exceedances, Monthly:									0
Points:									0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of v	veekly ave	erage (wh	en there is	s no month	nly averag	e):	2.5
Exceedance	s, Weekly								0
Points:									0
Total Number of Points								0	
Otal Number of Points O NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?									

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For
6/3/2021	2020

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit		
	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance		
January	1	0.107	1	0		
February	1	0.110	1	0		
March	1	0.105	1	0		
April	1	0.110	1	0		
Мау	1	0.162	1	0		
June	1	0.165	1	0		
July	1	0.247	1	0		
August	1	0.298	1	0		
September	1	0.338	1	0		
October	1	0.305	1	0		
November	1	0.193	1	0		
December	1	0.279	1	0		
Months of Dischar	ge/yr		12			
Points per each	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10		
Exceedances				0		
Total Number of Points 0						
exceedance for th the number of mo	is section shall be band is section shall be band is a section shall be band is the section of discharge.	rmittently to waters o used upon a multiplicat charging only 6 month	ion factor of 12 mon	ths divided by		

Total Points Generated			
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100		
Section Grade	A		

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
6/3/2021	2020

Biosolids Quality and Management

 1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) Land applied under your permit Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids Hauled to another permitted facility Landfilled Incinerated Ø Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe: Utilized the Appleton Composting Facility																			
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 14581.10 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 953 2.2 If you did not have enough acres for your land application needs, what action was taken? 2.3 Did you overapply nitrogen on any of your approved land application sites you used last year? O 2.4 Have all the sites you used last year for land application been soil tested in the previous 4 years? • Yes • No 0 N/A								0											
3. Biosolids Number o 3.1 For ea calendar y Outfall No. Parameter Arsenic Cadmium Copper Lead Mercury Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Zinc	f bios ich ou /ear. . 010	olids utfall - Bio	tested solids	l, ver - Cor	ify th	e bio	osolic		etal q	Jul	y val		Oct	Nov 1.65 <.447 46.7 10.5 <.528 1.79 8.28 <1.04 121	Dec	80%		Ceiling	

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 2020

														6	/3/20	JZI		2020
Outfall No	00	3 - C	ake S	luda	ρ													
Parameter	80% of		Ceiling Limit			Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic	Limit	41	75	<9.08		<9.37		<8.28		2.26		<1.86		<1.68			0	0
Cadmium		39	85	<.427		<.441		<.39		.0922		<.044		.159			0	0
Copper		1500	4300	74.2		70.9		75.9		64.8		47		95			0	0
Lead		300	840	5.31		<3.65		6.14		4.51		<.601		4.16			0	0
Mercury		17	57	<.119		.131		<.103		.102		.157		.19			0	0
lolybdenum	60		75	3.68		2.68		3.69		3.4		4.91		4.92		0		0
Nickel	336		420	14.6		12.1		12.8		15.4		15		16		0		0
Selenium	80		100	<8.01		<8.27		<7.31		<1.54		<1.63	8	1.7		0		0
Zinc		2800	7500	151		157		148		130		116		133			0	0
utfall No. 0	09 - Bi	osolids	- Comp	ost Cl	ass B													
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic	LIIIIL	41	75														0	0
Cadmium		39	85														0	0
Copper		1500	4300													·	0	0
Lead		300	840														0	0
Mercury		17	57														0	0
olybdenum	60		75													0		0
Nickel	336		420													0		0
Selenium	80		100													0		0
Zinc		2800	7500														0	0
 0 (0 Points) 0 1-2 (10 Points) 0 > 2 (15 Points) 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box) 0 Yes 																		
 No (10 points) N/A - Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points) N/A - Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points) 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points 																		
 0 (0 Points) 0 1 (10 Points) 0 > 1 (15 Points) 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit? 																		
• Yes (2 ● No (0) Poin	ts)	i	- : - I-							-					- t :		l an 2
3.1.5 If a Has the s										xcee(e, wr			was la	
	Has the source of the metals been identified? 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall): 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button																	

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021

	6/3/2021	202
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	01/01/2020 - 02/29/2020	
Density:	8,229	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	No	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	03/01/2020 - 04/30/2020	
Density:	4,752	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	05/01/2020 - 06/30/2020	
Density:	10,153	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	07/01/2020 - 08/31/2020	
Density:	10,153	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021

	6/3/2021	2020
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	09/01/2020 - 10/31/2020	
Density:	15,997	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	
Outfall Number:	003	
Biosolids Class:	В	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	11/01/2020 - 12/31/2020	
Density:	8,908	
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Anaerobic Digestion	
Process Description:	Anaerobic digestion with a 38-day HRT as verified by the Van Kleeck Method	
Outfall Number:	010	
Biosolids Class:	A	
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform	
Sample Dates:	07/01/2020 - 09/30/2020	
Density:	500	
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Process:	Composting	
Process Description:	The composting material maintained a temperature of 55° C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occurred	

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 2020 Outfall Number: 010 Biosolids Class: А Fecal Coliform Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: 10/01/2020 - 12/31/2020 Density: Sample Concentration Amount: MPN/G TS Requirement Met: Yes Land Applied: Yes Process: Composting Process Description: The composting material maintained a temperature of 55° C or higher for 15 days or longer. During this period, a minimum of 5 windrow turns occurred 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application. 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? • Yes (40 Points) • No If yes, what action was taken?

0

5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	01/13/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	No
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	53.60

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	03/09/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	51.20

Outfall Number:	003
Method Date:	05/19/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	>=38
Results (if applicable):	40.50

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021

0

	6/3/2021	202
Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	07/13/2020	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	44.60	
Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	09/15/2020	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	40.70	
Outfall Number:	003	
Method Date:	11/10/2020	
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Volatile Solids Reduction	
Requirement Met:	Yes	
Land Applied:	Yes	
Limit (if applicable):	>=38	
Results (if applicable):	42.60	

Outfall Number:	010
Method Date:	09/30/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Aerobic Composting Process
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	
Results (if applicable):	

Outfall Number:	010
Method Date:	12/31/2020
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:	Aerobic Composting Process
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Limit (if applicable):	
Results (if applicable):	

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? • Yes (40 Points)

• No

If yes, what action was taken?

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting 2020	
 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your v facility have either on-site or off-site? >= 180 days (0 Points) 0 150 - 179 days (10 Points) 0 120 - 149 days (20 Points) 0 90 - 119 days (30 Points) 0 < 90 days (40 Points) 0 N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. 	vastewater treat	ment	0
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overa None	II management:		

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

 Plant Staffing Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? 	
• Yes	
 No If No, please explain: 	
Could use more help (staff for	
Could use more help/staff for:	
 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes 	
● Yes ○ No	
If No, please explain:	
2. Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?	
• Yes (Continue with question 2) $\Box \Box$	
○ No (40 points) \Box \Box	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes 	0
• No (10 points)	
 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes 	
• Paper file system	
 Computer system 	
 Both paper and computer system 	
• No (10 points)	
 O&M Manual Joes your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used 	
as a reference when needed?	
● Yes ○ No	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	<u> </u>
 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. O Excellent 	
• Very good	
○ Good	
○ Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	1

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: F	Reporting For:
	6/3/2021	2020

Operations/maintenance staff are knowledgeable and dedicated to repairing immediate needs, while also planning ahead for future maintenance and capital improvement projects.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
6/3/2021	2020

Operator Certification and Education

<u> </u>						
1.1 Did y ● Yes (0 ○ No (2 Name:	0 points) YAN RICE	n-charge during the	report year?			0
2.1 In ac and subc	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 lass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (O	IC) to operat	te the waste	water	
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х			Х	
A2	Attached Growth Processes					
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Х			Х	
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			Х	0
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х			Х	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Х			Х	
L	Laboratory	Х			Х	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	Х	NA	
 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance and is basic level only.) Yes (0 points) No (20 points) 						
 3. Succession Planning 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)? ☑ One or more additional certified operators on staff □ An arrangement with another certified operator □ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator □ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year □ A consultant to serve as your certified operator □ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 			o			
4. Continu	ing Education Credits					

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting For 2020
4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in- Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification:	-charge earning Contin	uing
O Averaging C or more CECs not vest		

• Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

 \circ Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

• Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.

 \circ Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For 6/3/2021 2020
Financial Management	
1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Kelli Rindt	
Telephone: 920-832-6316	(XXX) XXX-XXXX
E-Mail Address (optional): kelli.rindt@appleton.org	
 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover Or treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? Yes (0 points) □□ No (40 points) If No, please explain: 	&M expenses for your wastewater
 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue sou Year: 2020 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ o N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segnifinancial resources available for repairing or replacing equipming plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) o No (40 points) 	0 regated Replacement Fund, etc.) or
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHAI	L COMPLETE QUESTION 3]
 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewer Year: 2020 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□ 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ 0 N/A If N/A, please explain: 3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR 	ed and/or revised? \$ 3,823,901.14
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest,	\$ 0.00
audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance	\$ 3,823,901.14
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)	+ \$ 170,007.21

Appleto	on Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Update 6/3/2021	d: Reporting For 2020
replac	Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment ement, major repairs - use description box 1 below*) - \$	5 O	.00
	Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR ting Year	3,993,908	.35
Equipm	rces: This ending balance should include all ent Replacement Funds whether held in a ccount(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.		
3.2.6	.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repa	airs from 3.2.5 a	above.
Nor	ne		
3.3 W	/hat amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 2,51	1,303.00	0
Assis instr head 3.3.1 great • Ye • No		ed. Further calcu actions link unde	ulation er Info
If N	lo, please explain.		
4.1 D or new	ure Planning uring the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for construction of your treatment facility or collection system? - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already t Project Description	r listed below.□	□ Approximate Construction
1	Cludes Charasa Irranausanta	800000	Year 2023
1	Sludge Storage Improvements	800000	
3	Receiving Station Improvements Belt filter press upgrades	330000	
4	Multi-Year Electrical Equipment Upgrade	5314097	
5	Multi-year HVAC Upgrades	3363057	
6	PLC & SCADA Upgrades	60000	2021
7	Marshall Heights Lift Station Improvements	200000	2022
8	Process Improvements - (Filtrate tank/piping, RAS pumps, WGB, Blended Sludge HEX, Effluent Pumps, Primary Clarifier Drives)	3170269	
9	Multi-year Lighting Upgrades	275000	
10	Roof Replacements	40000	
11	Multi-Year Driveway and Walkway Replacements	792790	
12	Glacier Ridge Lift Station Summer St Lift Station	400000	
13	Secondary Clarifier Drive Replacements	750000	
	Incial Management General Comments	/ 50000	2021
None			
ENER	GY EFFICIENCY AND USE		
	ection System		
			-

ppleton Was	tewater Treatment Faci	lity		Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting Fo 2020
	he monthly energy usage	from the different energy s	ources:		
	N SYSTEM PUMPAGE: To unicipally Owned Pump/Li				
	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)			
January	117,667	220			
February	32,265	170			
March	28,539	104			
April	21,723	32			
Мау	19,423				
June	18,062	2			
July	14,016	6			
August	12,644	4			
September	14,041	14			
October	16,052	98			
November	17,557	229			
December	19,931	412			
Total	331,920	1,291			
Average	27,660	117			

6.1.2 Comments:

January 2020 kilowatt hours due to Midway Road lift station construction and electrical meter change out.

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

- 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):
- \boxtimes Comminution or Screening
- □ Extended Shaft Pumps
- \boxtimes Flow Metering and Recording
- Pneumatic Pumping
- SCADA System
- Self-Priming Pumps
- Submersible Pumps
- ☑ Variable Speed Drives

 \Box Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

None

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

o No

• Yes

Year:

2009

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

By Whom:

Donohue & Associates, McMahon Engineers

Describe and Comment:

In the last five years the following lift stations have been reviewed and new designs, some including new energy efficient pumps, VFDs, etc., have been completed through construction projects: Briarcliff LS, Midways Rd LS, Spartan Dr LS, and Scarlet Oak LS. Maintaining a lift station inventory that is energy efficient is a City of Appleton objective.

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

Future lift station pump and motor upgrades will replace less efficient equipment with more energy efficient pumps and motors.

7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	901,928	363.02	2,485	493.49	1,828	7,662
February	797,095	280.57	2,841	512.46	1,555	5,822
March	854,326	650.09	1,314	509.64	1,676	6,237
April	793,181	389.56	2,036	355.77	2,229	1,297
May	816,666	432.47	1,888	1,047.77	779	2,024
June	824,029	369.11	2,232	601.83	1,369	6,294
July	923,561	355.29	2,599	700.79	1,318	42
August	832,627	238.51	3,491	647.47	1,286	934
September	836,757	248.72	3,364	597.42	1,401	1,663
October	903,940	308.04	2,934	915.86	987	3,116
November	764,157	331.70	2,304	558.81	1,367	3,454
December	1,808,538	270.39	6,689	552.48	3,273	7,447
Total	11,056,805	4,237.47		7,493.79		45,992
Average	921,400	353.12	2,848	624.48	1,589	3,833

7.1.2 Comments:

December 2020 kilowatt hours is two months of use, due to WE Energies change of billing software.

Biogas boiler and compression system start up in 4th quarter 2019, which dramatically reduced our natural gas consumption.

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

ppleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: F 6/3/2021	Reporting F 2020
🛛 Anaerobic Digestion		
Biological Phosphorus Removal		
🛛 Coarse Bubble Diffusers		
Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control		
Effluent Pumping		
I Fine Bubble Diffusers		
🗌 Influent Pumping		
🛛 Mechanical Sludge Processing		
⊠ Nitrification		
🛛 SCADA System		
UV Disinfection		
🛛 Variable Speed Drives		
Other:		
7.2.2 Comments:		
Effluent pumping is an as-needed process dependent on WV	/TP inflow and river levels.	
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have treatment facility? Equipment replacement with energy efficient pumps and moth process controls.	ors as well as optimization of	
Biogas boiler heating system optimization to increase biogas efficiency.	utilization and heating system	
efficiency.	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No	utilization and heating system	1
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes	utilization and heating system	1
 efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): 	utilization and heating system	1
efficiency. Biogas Generation B.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off	utilization and heating system	1
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No ● Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? ○ No ● Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☑ Flared Off ☑ Building Heat ☑ Process Heat	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No ● Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat ⊠ Process Heat □ Generate Electricity	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No ● Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat ⊠ Process Heat	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation B.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): Flared Off Building Heat Process Heat Generate Electricity	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? ○ No ● Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☑ Flared Off ☑ Building Heat ☑ Process Heat □ Generate Electricity	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. Biogas Generation S. Biogas Generate/produce biogas at your facility? No Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): Flared Off Building Heat Process Heat Generate Electricity Other:	utilization and heating system	
efficiency. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): \[Flared Off \] Building Heat \[Process Heat \] Other: \[\] Other: P. Energy Efficiency Study		
efficiency. 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): S Flared Off Ø Building Heat Ø Process Heat Ø Generate Electricity Ø Other: Ø. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment factors		
efficiency. 3. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat ⊠ Process Heat □ Generate Electricity □ Other: 0 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment far o No		
efficiency. 3. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? o No • Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat ⊠ Process Heat □ Generate Electricity □ Other: 0 0 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment far o No ● Yes		
efficiency. 3. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No • Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ⊠ Flared Off ⊠ Building Heat □ Generate Electricity □ Other:		

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

By Whom:			
Joe Cantwell - Focus on Energy			
Describe and Comment:			
Every project has an energy component. The City reviews projects by completing a conditions assessment followed by a review of alternatives. The City chooses the alternative with the least overall project cost (operating and capital). A number of projects resulted in decreased energy usage. A project was completed in 2019 to install a third biogas boiler. This boiler provides heat to the half of the plant not heated by two previously installed boilers.			
□ Part of the facility			
Year:			
By Whom:			
Describe and Comment:			

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated:	Reporting For:
6/3/2021	2020

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes • No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)? ● Yes
• Tes • No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
 1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply) ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Major Goals:
Reconstruction is performed based on existing condition and expected useful life of sanitary sewer infrastructure. Budget constraints limit the amount of sewer infrastructure that can be replaced annually to an amount less than which meets our reconstruction criteria. In 2020, \$3,770,000 was budgeted for sewer reconstruction and \$940,000 was budgeted for maintenance.
Specific 2020 goals included: System cleaning: 55%; Defects to correct: 20; televising & root control: 12%; Spot repairs: 22; Trouble call responses: 25; Blockages removed: 2; Cross-connections identified: 50; Protruding taps removed: 5; General reduction in I/I through clear water inspection program. These goals are consistent with the 2020 budget for the collection system.
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes
O NO
If No, explain:
☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
oxtimes Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
\boxtimes Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
⊠ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer Use Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2020-11-03
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection

 \boxtimes Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting 2020	
□Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored inccessary □Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored inccessary □Setation and grease control □Coperation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)] □Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include t □Setation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)] □Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include t □Sequence and replacement part inventories □Up-to-date sewer system map □A management system (computer database and/or file system) for coninformation for 0&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation □A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see quence activities and the program □Basement back assessment and correction □Regular 0&M training □Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]□□ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construct the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor seproperty? □State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal □Construction, Inspection, and Testing □Others: □ □ □ Others: □ □ Others: □ □ Others: □	and controlled, a he following: ollection system estion 2 below) tion, and inspecti ewers on private	s on of	0
 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program inclumaintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount model of the system/year Root removal Root removal Flow monitoring Smoke testing Sewer line televising 14.1 % of system/year 			
Manhole			

% of system/year

13.8

inspections

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For 6/3/2021 2020			
Lift station O&M 12 # per L.S	./year			
Manhole rehabilitation .89 % of man	holes rehabbed			
Mainline rehabilitation .64 % of sewe	er lines rehabbed			
Private sewer inspections .25 % of syst	em/year			
Private sewer I/I removal 0.0 % of priva	ate services			
River or water crossings 0.0 % of pipe	crossings evaluated or maintained			
Please include additional comments about your sanitary s	-			
None				
 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow inform 42.0 Total actual amount of precipitation I 32 Annual average precipitation (for you 327 Miles of sanitary sewer 13 Number of lift stations 0 Number of lift station failures 2 Number of sewer pipe failures 43 Number of basement backup occurre 43 Number of complaints 11.6 Average daily flow in MGD (if availab 21.0 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if availab 21.0 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if availab 21.0 Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.01 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile) 0.13 Complaints (number/sewer mile) 1.8 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:A 4. Overflows 	ast year in inches ir location) nces le) le)) ver mile/yr) ewer mile/yr) hile) nnual Daily Avg)			
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACI	LITY (TEO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **			
Date Location	Cause Estimated Volume			
None reported				
** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.				
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)				

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

mnliance Maintenance Annual Penort

ppleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/3/2021	Reporting Fo 2020
 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last yea Yes No 	ar?	
If Yes, please describe:		
Rain events combined with spring snow melt runoff resulted in high month of March.	ner than normal flows	in the
 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performar your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in Yes No 		ms in
If Yes, please describe:		
E 2 Eveloin any infiltration (inflow (I/I) changes this year from proving	s vears:	
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previou	s yearsi	
None		
	·	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/3/2021 **2020**

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0023221

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	A	4	3	12	
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40	
TSS	A	4	5	20	
Ammonia	A	4	5	20	
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12	
Biosolids	A	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4	
OpCert	A	4	1	4	
Financial	A	4	1	4	
Collection	A	4	3	12	
TOTALS			37	148	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Appleton Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:	
	6/3/2021	2020	

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing
Body or Owner:
Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:
Resolution Number:
Date of Submittal:
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR
SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):
Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: ROD: Crade - A
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A
Staffing: Grade = A
Operator Certification: Grade = A
Financial Management: Grade = A
Collection Systems: Grade = A
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)
(Regardiess of grade, response required for conection systems in 550s were reported)
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL
GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS
(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)
G.P.A. = 4.00