



WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ACT MEMO

2017 Wisconsin Act 317
[2017 Assembly Bill 771]

**Rental Housing, Landlord-Tenant
Law, Court Records, and Local
Government Authority**

2017 Wisconsin Act 317 makes various changes, described below, to state law relating to rental housing, landlord-tenant law, court records, and local government authority.

INSPECTION OF RENTAL PROPERTY

As affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act 176, **state law** generally prohibits cities, villages, towns, and counties from enacting ordinances that require a rental property or rental unit to be inspected. However, prior law provides certain exceptions to that general prohibition. Specifically, a city, village, town, or county may have required an inspection in any of the following circumstances:

- The inspection is conducted upon a complaint by any person.
- The inspection is conducted as part of a program of regularly scheduled inspections conducted in compliance with special inspection warrant procedures, as applicable.
- The inspection is required under state or federal law.

Prior law required fees charged for the inspection of residential rental property to be uniform and charged at the time the inspection is actually performed.

The Act removes the general authority to conduct inspections as part of a “program of regularly scheduled inspections.” However, the Act authorizes a city, village, town, or county to establish a rental property inspection program in designated districts in which there is evidence of blight, high rates of building code complaints or violations, deteriorating property values, or increases in single-family home conversions to rental units. No inspection of a unit may be conducted under the program if the occupant of that unit does not consent to allow access, unless the inspection is under a special inspection warrant.

This memo provides a brief description of the Act. For more detailed information, consult the text of the law and related legislative documents at the Legislature’s Web site at: <http://www.legis.wisconsin.gov>.

Under such a rental property inspection, if no "habitability violation" is discovered during an inspection, or if such a violation is corrected within a period designated by the municipality (but generally not less than 30 days), then the city, village, town, or county may not inspect the same property again for at least five years. The Act defines "habitability violation" to mean any of the following conditions:

- The rental property or rental unit lacks hot or cold running water.
- Heating facilities serving the rental property or rental unit are not in safe operating condition or are not capable of maintaining a temperature, in all living areas of the property or unit, of at least 67 degrees Fahrenheit during all seasons of the year in which the property or unit may be occupied.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by electricity, or the electrical wiring, outlets, fixtures, or other components of the electrical system are not in safe operating condition.
- Any structural or other conditions in the rental property or rental unit that constitute a substantial hazard to the health or safety of the tenant, or create an unreasonable risk of personal injury as a result of any reasonably foreseeable use of the property or unit other than negligent use or abuse of the property or unit by the tenant.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by plumbing facilities in good operating condition.
- The rental property or rental unit is not served by sewage disposal facilities in good operating condition.
- The rental property or rental unit lacks working smoke detectors or carbon monoxide detectors.
- The rental property or rental unit is infested with rodents or insects.
- The rental property or rental unit contains excessive mold.

A city, village, town, or county may designate a period of less than 30 days to correct a violation if the violation exposes a tenant to imminent danger. A city, village, town, or county must also extend the designated period upon a showing of good cause. If a habitability violation is discovered and is not corrected within the designated period, then the municipality may conduct annual inspections of the property. However, if no habitability violation is discovered during two consecutive annual inspections, then the city, village, town, or county may not perform a program inspection of the property for at least five years.

A city, village, town, or county is prohibited from inspecting rental property that is less than eight years old as part of that inspection program.

In addition, the Act generally limits the amount of a fee charged under the inspection program described above to \$75 for an inspection of a vacant unit or an inspection of exterior or common areas, \$90 for any other initial inspection, and \$150 for a second or subsequent inspection with an allowance for a 2% annual increase to those amounts. However, the Act

prohibits a city, village, town, or county from imposing any fee in any of the following circumstances:

- An owner voluntarily allows access for an inspection of exterior and common areas, and no habitability violation is discovered during the inspection, or, if a violation is discovered, the violation is corrected within a designated period.
- No habitability violation is discovered during the inspection, or, if a violation is discovered, for a reinspection that occurs after the violation is corrected within the designated period.
- The inspection does not occur because an occupant does not allow access to the property.

For inspections conducted pursuant to a special inspection warrant, the Act limits the amount of the fee to \$150, subject to an allowance for a 2% annual increase, except that if no habitability violation is discovered, then no fee may be charged. If a habitability violation is discovered and not corrected within a designated period, then the fee may not exceed \$300.

Finally, the Act also requires cities, villages, towns, and counties to maintain records regarding inspections performed upon a complaint from an employee or official. The records must include the name of the person making the complaint, the nature of the complaint, and any inspection conducted upon the complaint.

PREEMPTION OF CERTAIN LOCAL REGULATIONS RELATING TO RENTAL PROPERTY

The Act preempts cities, villages, towns, and counties from taking any of the following actions:

- Requiring that a residential rental property owner register or obtain a certification or license related to owning or managing the residential rental property.
- Charging a fee for registration of a rental property, except for a one-time registration fee that reflects the actual costs of operating a registration program and does not exceed \$10 per building, and a fee for the registration of a change of ownership or management of a building or change of contact information that reflects the actual and direct costs of registration and does not exceed \$10 per building.¹
- Enacting or enforcing an ordinance, or otherwise imposing a requirement that includes "aesthetic considerations," defined to mean considerations relating to color, texture, and design that do not relate to health or safety, for purposes of inspection criteria for the interior of certain residential structures.

¹ However, the Act authorizes first-class cities to impose registration fees that are not subject to the \$10 limitation.

LANDLORD-TENANT LAW

The Act makes several changes relating to landlord-tenant law, including changes relating to: (1) notices terminating tenancies; (2) defense to eviction action; and (3) stay of eviction proceedings.

Notices Terminating Tenancies for Failure to Pay Rent

State law requires a landlord to provide a notice to a tenant before terminating a tenancy for failure to pay rent.

The Act specifies that the amount of past due rent stated in a notice to terminate a lease may include both past due rent and late fees. The Act also provides that a statement of the amount due is valid unless either of the following situations applies:

- The landlord's statement of the amount due is intentionally incorrect.
- The tenant paid or tendered payment of the amount the tenant believes to be due.

Defense to Eviction Action

Under **state law**, a court may not dismiss an eviction action because the landlord accepts past due rent or any other payment from a tenant after serving notice of default or after commencing the action. Prior law does not prohibit the dismissal of an eviction action based on a landlord's or tenant's waiver of a violation or breach of a rental agreement.

Under **the Act**, a landlord or tenant's previous waiver of a violation or breach of any term of a rental agreement does not constitute a defense to an action of eviction or a claim for damages.

Stay of Eviction Proceedings Pending an Application for Emergency Assistance

Generally, under **prior law**, a court must temporarily stay eviction proceedings if a tenant applies for the emergency assistance program, which provides limited assistance to qualifying families in cases of fire, flood, natural disaster, homelessness or impending homelessness, or energy crisis. The stay remains in effect until the tenant's eligibility for the program is determined, and, if the person is eligible, until the person receives the assistance.

Emergency assistance payments are one-time payments; an eligible person may receive assistance through the program no more than once in any 12-month period. Eligibility determinations and payments are typically administered by W-2 ("Wisconsin Works") agencies, which are entities that contract with the Department of Children and Families (DCF) to administer W-2 benefits in a given county. Administrative rules require program eligibility decisions (and any resulting payments) to be made within five working days of a person's application for the program. [s. DCF 120.08 (1), Wis. Adm. Code.]

Under **the Act**, a court may not grant a stay based on an emergency assistance application after a writ of restitution has been issued. In addition, the Act provides that such a stay may not remain in effect for more than 10 working days.

Other Changes

The Act makes the following other changes relating to landlord-tenant law:

- Increases by \$5 the amount that a landlord may charge a prospective tenant for a consumer credit report.
- Specifies that a landlord may charge a prospective tenant who is not a Wisconsin resident up to \$25 for conducting a background check.
- Specifies that "reasonable costs" charged by a landlord for remediation, repair, or redecoration include materials, labor, and time.
- Authorizes a landlord and tenant to agree in a rental agreement that the landlord may provide certain documents electronically.

PROVISION OF UTILITY SERVICE TO RENTAL UNITS

State law sets forth certain procedures governing a property owner's responsibility for service to a rental dwelling unit.

The Act retains those provisions but provides certain additional requirements in the event that a tenant's utility payments are in arrears or service is to be disconnected. Specifically, if requested by the owner of a rental dwelling unit and authorized by the tenant residing in the unit, the Act requires a public utility to notify the owner in the same manner as the tenant of any pending disconnection of service to the unit that is due to nonpayment of past due charges, and may provide information about the status of the disconnection to the owner by telephone.

In addition, the Act prohibits a public utility from requiring the owner of a rental dwelling unit to provide proof of eviction or other evidence that a tenant has vacated a rental unit as a condition for providing or resuming service to the unit, if the service is placed and maintained solely in the owner's name.

Finally, the Act makes a certain process for enforcing utility bill arrears by placing liens on property unavailable to a municipal utility that does not comply with a requirement under state law to send bills for water or electric service to a tenant in the tenant's own name.

AMOUNT OF LEVY LIMIT REDUCTION FOR COVERED SERVICES

Under prior law, if a city, village, town, or county receives revenues designated to pay for a covered service² that was funded in 2013 by the political subdivision's levy, the political subdivision must reduce its levy limit in the current year by an amount equal to the estimated amount of fee revenue collected, or payments in lieu of taxes received, for providing the covered service, less any previous reductions made under this provision.

² "Covered service" means garbage collection, fire protection, snow plowing, street sweeping, or storm water management. [s. 66.0602 (2m) (b) 1., Stats.]

The Act limits the amount by which a city, village, town, or county must reduce its levy to the amount expended in 2013 for providing the covered service.

REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN MUNICIPAL FEES

State law requires fees imposed by cities, villages, towns, and counties to bear a reasonable relationship to the service for which the fee is imposed. State law generally does not require notification before a fee may be imposed.

The Act prohibits a city, village, town, or county from imposing a fee or charge relating to noxious weeds, electronic waste, or other building or property maintenance standards, unless the city, village, town, or county first provides a notification of that charge by specified means. The Act also prohibits a city, village, town, or county from establishing any sewerage service charge that is not related to providing sewerage service.

AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN COURT RECORDS

State law authorizes the Director of State Courts to implement an automated information system – referred to as the Consolidated Court Automation Program (CCAP) case management system – for circuit court records. The statutes do not address the timeline regarding the availability of information through that system. Generally, under Wisconsin Supreme Court rules, civil court records are maintained for 20 years. [SCR 72.01.]

The Act prohibits the Director of State Courts from removing case management information from CCAP's Internet access site for a civil case that is not a closed, confidential, or sealed case for the following time periods:

- If a writ of restitution has been granted in an eviction action, a period of at least 10 years.
- If an eviction action has been dismissed and no money judgment has been docketed, a period of at least two years.

REFUND OF CERTAIN FEES AFTER A MUNICIPAL ORDER IS WITHDRAWN OR OVERTURNED

State law provides a process by which a person whose rights, duties, or privileges are adversely affected by a determination of a municipality may request a review of the relevant municipal decision.

The Act requires a municipal authority to refund any fee paid as a condition of appealing an order that is withdrawn or overturned under that review process.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Generally, **state law** authorizes cities, villages, towns, and counties, as part of the exercise of their zoning and police power authority, to regulate places, structures, and objects with a special character, historic interest, aesthetic interest or other significant value, for the purpose of preserving the place, structure, or object and its significant characteristics.

The Act requires cities, villages, towns, and counties to allow owners of property that is designated as a historic landmark or included within a historic district or neighborhood conservation district, when repairing or replacing such property, to use materials that are similar in design, color, scale, architectural appearance, and other visual qualities.

ORDERS UNDER ELIMINATED ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The 2017 Biennial Budget Act eliminated a rental unit energy efficiency program.

The Act specifies that stipulations, waivers, and Department of Safety and Professional Services (DSPS) orders under the rental unit energy efficiency program that was eliminated in the 2017-19 Biennial Budget Act are void and unenforceable. The Act also prohibits DSPS from taking any enforcement action with respect to the eliminated program.

EMOTIONAL SUPPORT ANIMALS

The federal Fair Housing Act (FHA) generally prohibits housing discrimination based on having an assistance animal that provides emotional support. Guidance issued by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on April 25, 2013 generally requires a housing provider to provide an exception to a “no pets” policy for a person with a disability who has a disability-related need for an assistance animal. However, the 2013 HUD guidance allows a housing provider to ask individuals seeking an exception for an emotional support animal to provide documentation from a physician, social worker, or other mental health professional. In addition, the HUD guidance allows a housing provider to deny a request for an exception if allowing the animal would impose an undue financial and administrative burden or would fundamentally alter the nature of the provider’s services. A housing provider may also deny a request based on certain safety or property-related concerns specific to the animal in question.

Prior state law does not address the open housing law’s application to emotional support animals. Prior law states that, “[i]f an individual’s vision, hearing or mobility is impaired, it is discrimination for a person to refuse to rent or sell housing to the individual, cause the eviction of the individual from housing, require extra compensation from an individual as a condition of continued residence in housing or engage in the harassment of the individual because he or she keeps an animal that is specially trained to lead or assist the individual with impaired vision, hearing or mobility,” if certain conditions apply. [s. 106.50 (2r) (bm) 1., Stats.] Thus, prior state law does not generally appear to require housing providers to make exceptions for emotional support animals, as is required in some circumstances under the FHA.

The Act expands the scope of the state’s open housing law to prohibit discrimination against individuals with disability-related needs for emotional support animals and replaces provisions of prior law relating to specially trained animals to conform with HUD guidance. Specifically, the Act defines “emotional support animal” to mean an animal that provides emotional support, well-being, comfort, or companionship for an individual, but that is not trained to perform tasks for the benefit of an individual with a disability. With respect to emotional support animals, the Act adopts an approach similar to the approach set forth under the 2013 HUD guidance, including authorizing a housing provider to request documentation of

a disability-related need for an emotional support animal from a physician, psychologist, social worker, or other health professional, and incorporating certain other limitations. However, it specifies that the health professional must be licensed in Wisconsin and acting within the scope of his or her license.

With respect to animals that are specially trained to do work or perform tasks for an individual, the Act generally adopts the approach in the 2013 HUD guidance. With respect to documentation for specially trained animals, the Act requires "reliable documentation" rather than documentation from a health professional licensed in Wisconsin.

Effective date: 2017 Wisconsin Act 317 took effect on April 18, 2018, with the exception of the provisions relating to the rental unit energy assistance program, which will apply retroactively as of January 1, 2018.

Prepared by: Scott Grosz, Principal Attorney

April 23, 2018

SG:mcm;ksm